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ABSTRACT: In recent years, power demand has increased substantially while the expansion of power generation and transmission has been severely limited due to limited resources and environmental restrictions. As a consequence, some transmission lines are heavily loaded and the system stability becomes a power transfer-limiting factor. Flexible AC transmission systems (FACTS) controllers have been mainly used for solving various power system steady state control problems in this thesis work. An optimized fuzzy logic-based static synchronous series compensator is designed in place of a PI controlling technique, and simulations are carried out on a long transmission line by varying the load step by step and results are compared with and without fuzzy logic controller. The proposed fuzzy logic controller improves power system transient stability and system damping. Fuzzy logic controller works according to system behavior as Controller input parameters are carefully chosen to provide considerable damping for the power system. The range of each controller is determined based on simulation results of the fuzzification process. Simulation results indicate that this controller can improve stability margin of the system in the case of transient stability and provide considerable damping of power oscillation.
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INTRODUCTION: Transient stability analysis is considered when the power system is confronted with large disturbances. Sudden changes in load, generation or transmission system configuration due to fault or switching are examples of large disturbances. Power system should retain its synchronism during and after all these kinds of disturbances. Therefore, transient stability is an important security criterion in power systems design. Different methods have been used for transient stability analysis in power systems. The utilities must need to operate their power transmission system much more effectively, increasing their utilization degree. Reducing the effective reactance of lines by series compensation is a direct approach to increase transmission capability. However, power transfer capability of long transmission line is limited by stability considerations. But the advent of fast acting FACTS devices allows for fast and vernier control of series compensation using thyristor control series capacitor (TCSC) and static synchronous series compensator (SSSC). SSSC is based on voltage source converter (VSC). Schematic diagram is shown in fig (1).

Flexible AC Transmission System (FACTS) devices are used to control power flow along transmission lines and improve power system stability. SSSC is one of the series FACTS devices that are usually used for voltage regulation. It is also used to improve power system stability by injecting or absorbing reactive power. This function of SSSC needs some more supplementary input signals, several controllers have been used to perform this control strategy such as conventional PI controller. This paper investigates the static synchronous series compensator (SSSC) FACTS controller in terms of transient stability improvement and power system stability and performance. Here this paper is divided into six sections. In the first section, a system model is described of long transmission line taken under consideration. In the second section, PI controlling based SSSC model is elaborated in details. In the third section, fuzzy logic controller with rule bases replaces the PI controller is described and also complete fuzzification with rule bases are discussed. In the fourth section, results are compared between PI controlled SSSC and fuzzy based SSSC which are observed in the scope of Matlab and readings are compared in Photoshop manager to review waveform comparison clearly. In the fifth section, the work is concluded were it is proved that power system stability performance and damping is improved using fuzzy logic control. Finally in the last section, references are given.

Section I:

A 230 kV, 100 MVA source is taken for a long transmission line of 800 km. The line resistance per unit length is considered as [0.01273 0.3864] ohms/km [N*N matrix] or [R1 R0 R0m] in per unit, the line inductance per unit length is [0.9337e-3 4.1264e-3] H/km [N*N matrix] or [L1 L0 L0m] and the line capacitance per unit length is [12.74e-9 7.751e-9] F/km [N*N matrix] or [C1 C0 C0m] for each 200 km length. In this long transmission line parallel R-L-C load is connected which is introduced in different steps as no load, half load and full load. SSSC is...
introduced in series in the middle of the line as the most nominal place in the T model of installation strategy. The complete model is described in fig (2).

![Diagram](Image)

Block diagram of the model considered
To achieve real and reactive power flow control, we need to inject series voltage of the appropriate magnitude and angle were the injected voltage can be split into two components which are in phase and in quadrature with the line current. The real power is controlled using the reactive voltage and the reactive power is controlled using the real voltage. The real and reactive power reference is obtained from the steady state load flow requirements. The real power reference can also be modulated to improve damping and transient stability.

**Section II**

**Control scheme for SSSC:**

The main function of the SSSC is to dynamically control the power flow over the transmission line. The earlier control schemes proposed were based on the line impedance control mode in which the SSSC compensating voltage is derived by multiplying the current amplitude with the desired compensating reactance \( X_{qref} \). Since it is difficult to predict \( X_{qref} \) under varying network contingencies, the voltage control mode is considered in the proposed scheme. This controller is modified to operate the SSSC in the automatic power flow control mode. In the automatic power flow control mode, the reference inputs to the controller are reference powers \( P \) and \( Q \), which are to be maintained in the transmission line despite system changes. Using dq transformation the line voltage and the current are converted to corresponding \( d \) and \( q \) axis components. The power references are converted to current references using the Eq. 1 and 2. From the current references the sigma angle is calculated and then the firing pulses are generated.

\[
I_{refd} = (P \times V_d + Q \times V_q) / (V^2_d + V^2_q) \tag{1}
\]

\[
I_{refq} = (P \times V_q + Q \times V_d) / (V^2_d - V^2_q) \tag{2}
\]

**SSSC dynamics**

The transfer function of SSSC based controller is:

\[
U_{SSSC} = K_S \left( \frac{sT_W}{1 + sT_{2S}} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{1 + sT_{1S}}{1 + sT_{2S}} \right) y.
\]

Where, \( U_{SSSC} \) and \( y \) are the output and input signals of the SSSC-based controller respectively. During steady state conditions \( \Delta V_q \) and \( V_{qref} \) are constant. During dynamic conditions the series injected voltage \( V_{qis} \) is modulated to damp system oscillations. The effective \( V_{qin} \) in dynamic conditions is given by:

\[
V_q = V_{qref} + \Delta V_q.
\]

**Section III**

**Fuzzy logic control**

Fuzzy control systems are rule-based systems in which a set of so-called fuzzy rules represent a control decision mechanism to adjust the effects of certain system stimuli. The aim of fuzzy control systems is to replace a skilled human operator with a fuzzy rule-based system. The fuzzy logic controller provides an algorithm which can convert the linguistic control strategy based on expert knowledge into an automatic control strategy. The fuzzy logic controller involves four main stages: fuzzification, rule base, inference mechanism and defuzzification (Sivanandam and Deepa, 2009). The structure of the fuzzy logic controller is shown in Fig. 4.

The error and change in error are taken as the inputs to the fuzzifier. Here, the error is between the line voltage and the reference voltage \((i.e \ V_q \) and \( V_{qref} \)) and the change in error is taken by subtracting two consecutive error values by providing a unit step delay and giving the second input to the fuzzifier as shown in the figure. Triangular membership functions are used for the inputs and the output. The universe of discourse for both the inputs is divided into seven partitions \((NL -\text{Negative Large}, \text{NM} -\text{Negative Medium}, \text{NS} -\text{Negative Small}, \text{Z} -\text{Zero}, \text{PS} -\text{Positive Small}, \text{PM} -\text{Positive Medium}, \text{PL} -\text{Positive Large})\). The output is the voltage and again the universe of discourse is divided into seven partitions. Fuzzy rules are if then rules were these are specified by max–min operator functions. The fuzzy rules taken here are of the form:

i) If error is large negative \((LN)\), AND change in error is large negative \((LN)\); THEN output \((u)\) is large positive \((LP)\).

ii) For \(N\) linguistic variables for each of error and change in error there are \(N^2\) possible combinations resulting into any of \(M\) values for the decision variable \(u\). All the possible combinations of inputs, called states, and the resulting control are then arranged in a \(NN2 \times MM\) ‘fuzzy relationship matrix’ (FRM).

iii) The membership values for the condition part of each rule are calculated from the composition rule as follows:
\[ \mu(X_i) = \mu(\text{e is LN, and } \Delta e \text{ is LN}) = \min \{ \mu(\Delta \omega \text{ is LN}), \mu(\Delta \omega' \text{ is LN}) \}; \text{ where } i=1, 2, \ldots N^2 \]

Here, \( X_i \) is the \( i \)-th value of the \( N^2 \) possible states (input-combinations) in the FRM.

iv) The membership values for the output characterized by the \( M \) linguistic variables are then obtained from the intersection of the \( N^2 \) values of membership function \( \mu(x) \) with the corresponding values of each of the decision variables in the FRM. For example, for the decision \( LN \subset M \) and for state \( X_i \), we obtain, \( \mu_u(X_i, LN) = \min[\mu(X_i, LN), \mu(X_i)] \); Where \( i=1, 2, N^2 \) the final value of the stabilizer output ‘LP’ can be evaluated as the union of all the outputs given by the relationship \( \mu_u(LN) = \max \{ \mu_u(X_i, LN) \} \), for all \( X_i \).

The membership values for the other \( M-1 \) linguistic variables are generated in a similar manner.

v) The fuzzy outputs \( \mu_u(LN), \mu_u(LP) \), etc. are then defuzzified to obtain crisp \( u \). The popular methods of defuzzification are the centroid and the weighted average methods. Using the centroid method, the output of the FLC is then written as

\[ u = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N^2} \mu_u(A_i) \cdot A_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N^2} \mu_u(A_i)} \]

vi) A set of decision rules relating the inputs to the output are compiled and stored in the memory in the form of a ‘decision table’. The rules are of the form:

\[ \text{if } X_1 \text{ and } X_2, \text{ then } \text{output} = Y \]

The decision rules established for fuzzy controller are given above:

**SSSC phasor control model**
Description:

Injected voltage \( V_{q} \) and \( V_{q\_ref} \) is measured in the SSSC phasor control and the current \( I_d \) and \( V_{q\_conv} \) is modulated by the PI control. Here the higher order terms containing error and change in error are neglected in PI control. In our proposed controller with fuzzy logic the error and change in error terms are fed as input as shown in the replacement of fuzzy logic controller. The bus voltage needed is controlled by following equation which are locally available which can easily calculate our real voltage \( eP(pq) \):

\[
V(b) = \sqrt{(VD(b))^{*2} + (VQ(b))^{*2}}
\]

\[
V(b) = \sqrt{(VR(a)+eR(pq))^{*2} + (VP(a)+eP(pq))^{*2}}
\]

\[
VR(a) = VD(a) \cdot \cos(\phi) - VQ(a) \cdot \sin(\phi)
\]

\[
VP(a) = VD(a) \cdot \sin(\phi) + VQ(a) \cdot \cos(\phi)
\]

Section IV

Results and case studies:

![Figure 1: Line voltage with PI control](image1)

![Figure 2: Line voltage with fuzzy logic control](image2)

![Figure 3: SSSC D.C voltage with PI control](image3)
Harmonic distortion analysis
FFT ANALYSIS

Result analysis
1) Error can be determined in fig 2 compared to fig 1 and hence bandwidth can be reduced and hence damping ratio increases which increases the gain K of the system
2) Sensitivity increases as can be compared in both figures 1 & 2 and hence static and dynamic security in power system becomes more sensitive to fault cure. Also it can be seen that the changed system is more sensitive to disturbances (even noise disturbances).
3) Settling time is improved from 3.8 sec to 2.4 sec, also rise time and overshoots are minimized reducing the transient time and making response of system fast as can be seen in fig 5
4) Per unit Voltage regulation is improved
5) Nessacary oscillation’s are seen in the proposed control system

Section V

Conclusion

In this paper, a fuzzy logic controller is proposed to control SSSSC for improving power system transient and steady state stability and system damping. Fuzzy logic controller works according to system behavior. Controller input parameters are carefully chosen to provide considerable damping for power system. The range of each controller is determined based on simulation results of the fuzzification process. Simulation results indicate that this controller can improve stability margin of the system in the case of transient and steady state stability and provide considerable damping of power oscillation and also increases the gain of system by reducing the bandwidth.

Future scope

Work can be carried out now by this controller in the improvement of static and dynamic security system and results can be obtained also the fuzzy logic controller can be optimized using neural networks and a neuro fuzzy logic control can be formed in future.
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